UN1_ID STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUL_ICE
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

UNI	red s	PATES OF AMERICA, Complainant,	•		
		V.))	8 U.S.C. Case No.	Proceeding
SEA	DART	TRADING CORP., Respondent.)		

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE (June 4, 1991)

The Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS), filed its Complaint in this case on May 8, 1991, alleging violations by Respondent corporation of 8 U.S.C. §1324a(a)(1)(A) and/or §1324a(a)(2), prohibition against unauthorized employment, and of 8 U.S.C. §1324(a)(1)(B), paperwork verification requirements. The Complaint demanded a total of \$24,750 in civil money penalties and issuance of a cease and desist order.

By Notice of Hearing (Notice) issued May 9, 1991, Respondent was cautioned that failure to answer the Complaint within 30 days of receipt of that Notice, with the Complaint enclosed, might result in a default entered by the administrative law judge. The parties were advised by the Notice that I was the assigned judge to hear this case.

On May 9, 1991 the docket section of this Office mailed the Notice, with the Complaint enclosed, to Respondent, addressed as follows: Anthony Vasile, President, Sea Dart Trading Corporation, 2767 Flatbush Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11234. The Notice and Complaint were mailed to the address provided by INS Respondent's service address in its transmittal letter 'Chief Administrative Hearing Officer and on its underlyi of Intent to Fine (NIF). The Postal Service returned t and accompanying Complaint to this Office endorsing the "Moved Not Forwardable."

Service of the Complaint and Notice frustrated by the inability to reach Res Order invites INS to take one of several act

- (1) INS may move to dismiss the Complair
- (2) INS may effect service, and file at to that fact, in accordance with the Procedure in cases before administrative §68.3(a), including, for example, service of service of process" of Respondent.

(3) If INS is able to locate the principal office or place of business of Respondent it may be able to effect service and to so certify in accord with 28 C.F.R. §68.3(b).

INS will be expected to advise me of its completed actions by an appropriate pleading to be filed not later than June 26, 1991. I will expect an explanatory pleading; to simply file a return of service will not be treated as compliance with this Order. Failing effective service or an appropriate motion to dismiss, I will consider dismissal, without prejudice, sua sponte.

SO ORDERED.

Dated this 4th day of June, 1991.

Marvin H. Morse

Administrative Law Judge

1 0000000

